

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

AMANDA FLOREA,

Plaintiff,

v.

**NORTHWEST COUNTRY
PLACE, INC.,** DBA Oakwood
Country Place,

Defendant.

MOSMAN, J.,

No. 3:13-cv-02290-SB

OPINION AND ORDER

On June 15, 2015, Magistrate Judge Beckerman issued her Findings and Recommendation (“F&R”) [79] in the above-captioned case, recommending that Defendant Northwest Country Place, Inc.’s Motion for Summary Judgment [31] should be granted as to Plaintiff Amanda Florea’s First and Fourth Claims and otherwise denied. No objections were filed.

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or

recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Beckerman's recommendation, and I ADOPT the F&R [79] as my own opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 7th day of July, 2015.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
United States District Judge